

Roll No. ....

13/12 (6:40 AM) DEC 2021

Total No. of Case Study Questions – 5

Total No. of Printed Pages – 31

Time Allowed – 4 Hours

**Final New Syllabus**

**Paper - 6 D**

Maximum Marks – 100

**Economic Laws**

**UFH**

Answer to questions are to be given only in English except in the case of candidates who have opted for Hindi Medium. If a candidate who has not opted for Hindi Medium, his/her answers in Hindi will not be valued.

**The Question Paper comprises five case study questions; The candidates are required to answer any four case study questions out of five.**

Answers in respect of Multiple Choice Questions are to be marked on the OMR answer sheet as given on the Cover Page of the descriptive type answer book.

**Answer to MCQs, if written inside the descriptive type answer book will not be evaluated.**

Candidates should answer the Case Study Questions as selected by them in totality i.e., MCQ as well as descriptive Question of the same Case Study Question.

Candidates are not permitted to answer MCQs of one Case Study Question and the descriptive questions of another Case Study Question and vice-versa.

Candidates may use calculator.

**Marks**

**CASE STUDY – 1**

Cinemaworld Corporation Private Limited (“CCPL”) is a company incorporated in Mumbai, India and is engaged in the business of producing bollywood feature films. CCPL was founded by Mr. Ajay Rathore, a well-known real estate mogul and industrialist. Mr. Ajay is engaged in his real estate business of development of high rise apartments and providing interiors, furniture etc. in the name of Luxury Heaven Private Limited (“LHPL”). CCPL also had a subsidiary, Wonderful Imagination India Private Limited (“WIPL”) which was into imaging business for production of movies and was making substantial profits due to its professional approach and superior quality of mastering digital prints.

**UFH**

**P.T.O.**

(2)

UFH

Marks

During the course of business, Ajay earned enormous wealth in the form of cash through his real estate business (by mandating payment in cash from his home buyers). This was invested in his various businesses to acquire agricultural farm land (to grow and export opium), acquiring and selling (export) of antiquities etc. and his net-worth grew to a substantial sum of ₹ 500 crores. A majority of his dealings in the farm and antiquities businesses were done through cash transactions or through a specific bank account maintained with ABC Bank Limited. Amounts were received in cash from his international customers through a hawala agent known to Mr. Ajay Rathore. He also purchased villas in India and in Spain using the money earned through his farm and antiquities businesses. Mr. Ajay Rathore also established Sure Returns Private Limited, a small non-banking finance company for securing the lives of the employees and the families with asset size of ₹ 450 crore.

Mr. Ajay invested an amount of ₹ 5 crore in Sure Returns out of the funds received from his antiquities business. He also used the cash generated from his agriculture, antiquities and real estate business in funding CCPL and producing movies. He also used cash to pay money to the censor board for speedy clearance of his movies and to theatres for timely release.

CCPL wanted to produce a big budget film and obtained a loan from ABC Bank Limited for an amount of ₹ 100 crore after mortgaging all the assets of CCPL and also the rights relating to the film. CCPL also obtained a loan from LHPL for an amount of ₹ 25 crore and an unsecured loan of ₹ 20 crore from Mr. Rohit Jain, a local money lender and a friend of Mr. Ajay Rathore. Due to the disputes that arose between some of the parties involved, the movie was not certified by the Censor Board and therefore, couldn't be released. Due to the same CCPL suffered

UFH

(3)

UFH

Marks

heavy losses and therefore, could not pay its financial and operating creditors. ABC Bank Limited then filed an application under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 and the application was admitted. Other than the loans obtained, CCPL had a liability of ₹ 5 crore to its employees and ₹ 6 crore to the government for statutory dues.

The resolution professional appointed for CCPL reviewed the assets of CCPL and concluded that out of the total book value of various assets of ₹ 180 Crores (including the amounts spent on producing the movie), the recoverable value is only ₹ 40 crore. The RP also noted that WIPL, the subsidiary of CCPL had substantial assets and the RP wanted to include such assets for the purpose of liquidating the claims against CCPL.

Further, pursuant to the review of the transactions at CCPL, the RP got wind of the businesses carried out by Mr. Ajay Rathore and informed the regulatory authorities about the transactions carried out.

Answer the following questions :

1.1. Which of the following are not functions of insolvency professional agencies under IBC, 2016 ? 2

- (A) Addressing grievance of aggrieved parties
- (B) Gathering information on the performance of insolvency resolution professionals
- (C) Suggesting the appointment of interim resolution professionals for specific companies
- (D) Monitoring, inspecting and investigating members

UFH

P.T.O.

(4)

UFH

Marks

- 1.2. Due to the downturn in the finance industry, Sure Returns Private Limited suffered heavy losses and couldn't repay the dues to its creditors and investors. Who can initiate proceedings against Sure Returns Private Limited under the IBC, 2016 ? **2**
- (A) Any regulator
- (B) Directors of Sure Returns
- (C) Any creditor of Sure Returns
- (D) None, IBC 2016 does not apply
- 1.3. As per PMLA, a person who exercises ultimate effective control over a juridical person conducting a transaction is called : **2**
- (A) Client
- (B) Beneficial owner
- (C) Authorised person
- (D) Intermediary
- 1.4. Out of the below, what is not part of the responsibility of ABC Bank Limited under PMLA, 2002 – **2**
- (A) Report suspicious transactions undertaken by Mr. Ajay Rathore and the Group
- (B) Furnish all information requested by the Director
- (C) Verify the identity of the clients and beneficial owners
- (D) Maintain records of transactions for a period of 5 years

UFH

(5)

UFH

Marks

1.5. The Director wanted to provisionally attach the properties of Mr. Ajay Rathore for a period of 365 days, which was vehemently challenged by Mr. Ajay Rathore. Examine 2

(A) The maximum period for which a property can be attached is for 365 days

(B) The maximum period for which a property can be attached is for 180 days

(C) The time limit for the provisional attachment will be decided by the Adjudicating Authority on a case to case basis

(D) The maximum period for which a property can be attached is for 275 days

1.6. Answer the following questions :

(i) Identify the various transactions in the case study which are offences under the PMLA 2002, the proceeds of the crime and the parties to the crime 6

(ii) Examine the correctness of the position taken by the Resolution Professional to use the assets of WIPL for satisfying the claims against CCPL ? What are the assets to be included / excluded when computing the liquidation estate of CCPL ? 5

(iii) Based on the facts of the case, identify the claims against CCPL and the prioritization of the claims as per IBC, 2016 4

UFH

P.T.O.

(6)

UFH

Marks

**CASE STUDY – 2**

Mr. Raj Mishra is an Indian resident who moved to Punjab from Bihar around 10 years back for employment with State Titanium India Limited (STIL), after completion of his master's in business management from IIM. Raj joined STIL as an assistant manager in operations and got numerous promotions based upon his performance. A year ago, Raj was elevated from the position of Vice President - Plant Operations of Punjab Plant and transferred to a new plant of STIL in Telengana as Plant Head. Mr. Mishra is a member of the committee on the financial matters as an employee's representative. STIL is a multi-product manufacturing company headquartered in Punjab. One of its products is in high demand abroad and around 60% of its production is exported majority to Europe followed by Australia. STIL established a branch office in central London recently and is in the process of making a bid for acquiring a textile plant there, the deal is expected to mature in six months' time. It will be a whole cash deal and the funds will be arranged through ECB (External Commercial Borrowings) in Euro currency. STIL is eligible to receive FDI.

Raj, after shifting to Telengana, wanted to buy his own house and, in that process, identified a housing project 'Nirmal Awas' in Gachibowli. Raj applied to CDIL, the promoter of 'Nirmal Awas' for a 3 BHK apartment. The project was duly registered with the relevant state authority under Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (RERA). The price of the apartment will be calculated based upon the carpet area at a rate of ₹ 3,000 per square feet. The 3 BHK apartment is comprising gross area of 1200 square feet, including external walls and internal partition walls equal to 3% and 4% of the gross area of the apartment, respectively; and also including a balcony of 24 square feet and an open terrace area of 40 square feet for exclusive use of allottee of the apartment

UFH

(7)

UFH

Marks

independently. Allotment for all 140 apartments were done in the month of February, 2020 to the respective allottees which included Mr. Nayak who had applied for two apartments and got the same in his own name; and Mr. Gautam who had applied for three apartments, got one in his own name, another in the name of his elder son and another one in the name of his business firm; which will be used as a guest house for guests related to his business. Rest all had applied for a single apartment.

Due to the nation-wide lock down, the majority of labourers working at 'Nirmal Awas' being casual workers moved back to their villages. CDIL realised that it would be difficult to complete the project by December, 2020 (due-date committed for possession) and after some efforts CDIL decided to transfer the project to JSED, a renowned name for developing residential projects. The allottees of 93 apartments, including Mr. Nayak and Mr. Gautam agreed for the transfer of the project because they already had put a huge sum for the apartments promised to them and hence the allottees of 93 apartments gave their consent by raise of hands to CDIL to transfer its rights and liabilities in Nirmal Awas to JSED. CDIL notified the said transfer to the relevant state authority under RERA within 30 days of transferring the project. JSED is willing to re-allot the apartments after taking charge from CDIL and it also filed an application to the relevant state authority under RERA for extension of 3 months quoting such transfer of project as a major reason.

As mentioned earlier that, STIL is planning to raise funds through ECB. STIL figures out that there will be two-three months' gap between the raising of money and packing the deal of acquiring the textile plant in London. Considering the transaction cost involved, STIL decided to park the funds for such time abroad only. STIL is considering various alternatives to park such funds. Committee on

UFH

P.T.O.

(8)

UFH

Marks

financial matters asked Raj to present his views on central banks' guidelines. The authorised dealer category I bank, with whom STIL is maintaining an EEFC account has sought for more information than in previous transactions. Raj finds the same a bit irritating, in response to which the banker explains to Raj that they are bound to enhance due diligence in case of specified transactions.

STIL has a stake of 26% in a Dubai based company named Dibschi LLC. STIL has an overseas office in Dubai but at the third-party location as STIL doesn't have any office premises in Dubai. STIL is now approaching various real estate brokers to find a suitable space for opening an office in Dubai.

Sridhar, another employee of STIL left India on 26 May, 2006 for employment with the subsidiary of STIL based in Germany. Sridhar was born and brought up in India and holds an Indian passport with non-resident status. Sridhar acquired a commercial property in Pune in May 2018 for which he paid out of funds held in a non-resident account. He, while being a non- resident, had also inherited an ancestral house situated in Mumbai from his deceased father, who was resident in India.

Sridhar took his mother to Germany along with him as he is the only son and decided to permanently settle there. In order to acquire bigger property there, he decided to sell both the property he owns in India; hence start looking for buyers. Through his brother- in- law, who is a real estate broker (but not charged any commission from Sridhar); he sold the inherited property for ₹ 2.5 crore and the property at Pune got sold for ₹ 4.5 crore. Since Sridhar holds NRI status for Indian income tax law purposes, hence buyers deduct tax of ₹ 52 lakh and ₹ 93.6 lakh respectively at the source. Sridhar wishes to repatriate the realised funds to his German account.

UFH

(9)

UFH

Marks

Answer the following questions :

- 2.1. With reference to the property acquired by Mr. Sridhar in Pune in May 2018, choose the correct statement out of the following considering the legal validity in the context of provisions of Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 and regulations made there under **2**
- (A) Mr. Sridhar shall not acquire any immovable property in India
- (B) Mr. Sridhar may acquire the immovable property in India, but only in joint ownership with some resident in India
- (C) Mr. Sridhar may acquire only one immovable property, but not from the fund held in a non- resident account
- (D) Mr. Sridhar may acquire immovable property other than plantation property.
- 2.2. Under RERA, the price of the apartment is based upon the carpet area and therefore it becomes important to correctly measure the same. What shall be the carpet area of the 3 BHK apartment in Nirmal Awas ? **2**
- (A) 1146 square feet
- (B) 1100 square feet
- (C) 1136 square feet
- (D) 1052 square feet

UFH

P.T.O.

(10)

**UFH**

**Marks**

2.3. STIL is now approaching various real estate brokers to find a suitable space for opening an office in Dubai. Can STIL buy office premises (immovable property) in Dubai ? **2**

- (A) STIL, being an Indian company cannot buy office premise outside India.
- (B) STIL can buy office premises in Dubai.
- (C) Only Dibschi LLC can buy office premises in Dubai for STIL.
- (D) Dibschi LLC and STIL can buy office premises jointly only.

2.4. With reference to the explanation given by the banker to STIL with respect to seeking of more information, which of the following is not a specified transaction ? **2**

- (A) Any transaction in foreign exchange
- (B) Any transaction in any high- value imports or remittances
- (C) Any transaction in any high- value exports or remittances
- (D) Any transaction where there is a high risk or risk of being considered as money laundering

**UFH**

(11)

UFH

Marks

2.5. Which amongst the following is not a valid alternative available with STIL to park the funds abroad ? **2**

- (A) Deposit the funds with a foreign bank rated AA by S & P
- (B) Deposit the funds with a foreign bank rated AA by Moody
- (C) Deposit the funds with a foreign branch of Indian bank abroad
- (D) Treasury bills up- to one- year maturity rated A+ by Fitch

2.6. Answer the following questions :

(i) Examine the following, with reasons based on applying the provisions of RERA 2016 :

(a) Whether the transfer of rights and liabilities in the project 'Nirmal Awas' by CDIL to JSED is legally valid ? **5**

(b) Whether JSED is allowed to re- allocate the allotments already done in the project 'Nirmal Awas' by CDIL ? **3**

(c) Whether the application moved by JSED to seek an extension of time on the grounds of delay on account of transfer of project is maintainable ? **3**

(ii) Since Mr. Sridhar is not aware of the local laws of the country, hence looking for your assistance to know can he repatriate funds back to his German account; if yes then how much amount of the sale proceeds can be repatriated ? **4**

UFH

P.T.O.

(12)

UFH

Marks

**CASE STUDY – 3**

Mr. Hiren Patel is a young dynamic artificial intelligence professional with mechanical engineering qualification and currently resides in Seattle, USA and holds NRI status. Hiren works for a Company called Navigate Automobiles LLP (Navigate). Navigate is in the business of designing, developing and selling automobile computer operating system to make “smart cars”, which is called “ignite”. Ignite is very popular among the smart automobile manufacturers since it offers proprietary applications and services such as maps, internet explorer and blue tooth connectors etc. Ignite Automation Services (IAS) is a bundled suite of Navigate’s applications and services and such apps and services are not available in isolation. In trade parlance, the OS is different from OS designed for typical cars as they have additional use features. 80% of smart cars, which are in use has Ignite as the operating system. If a car manufacturer wants to manufacture a normal Ignite car, it needs to only pass technical tests and accept the Ignite License Agreement; but in a normal car, the manufacturer are not permitted to include any of IAS such as Maps, Internet Explorer etc. If a manufacturer wants to manufacture a car having Ignite with pre-installed IAS, they have to enter into two additional agreements with Navigate i.e. Application Distribution Agreement and Anti Fragmentation Agreement. IAS couldn’t be availed directly by the end-users, in case it is not pre-installed in their cars. Hiren got married to Ms. Anna Harris (a US citizen) around a year back. The marriage took place in a traditional saptapadi ceremony in the backyard of Harris’ residence where only close relatives were present. Marriage was registered six months later due to a widely observed lockdown to prevent the widespread of COVID-19.

Indian traditions have a deep-rooted impact on Anna’s family because the grandmother of Anna is from India. Anna’s grandfather is also influenced by Indian culture, hence willing to migrate to India along with Anna’s grandmother

UFH

to spend the rest of their life. Considering this in the month of January 2021, Hiren and Anna acquired a luxurious apartment in their joint names in India, so that Anna's grandparents can stay there comfortably. Half of the consideration was paid by Hiren out of the Non-Resident Account maintained by him, and the remaining half by Anna through proper banking channel, and that too in the manner prescribed. To identify the flat and fulfil the legal requirement for registration of the same, Hiren took the help of his elder cousin Mr. Arya Patel, who is permanently residing in India.

Mr. Arya along with two of his friends owns a cement manufacturing company in India called 'Strong Cement Private Limited' (SCPL). SCPL supplies cement to various builders and retail consumers through a network of stockist and retailers. An understanding has been reached among the manufacturers of cement to control the price and supply of cement, but the understanding is not in writing and it is also not intended to be enforced by legal proceedings.

In order to grow the business, SCPL wanted to acquire another company in the same business, Venture Cements Private Limited (VCPL). The proposed acquisition of control in VCPL by SCPL will result into creation of a combination under section 5 of the Competition Act, 2002, and so a notice is furnished to the Commission for approval on 10<sup>th</sup> March, 2020. The Commission is of the opinion that the combination has an adverse effect on competition but such adverse effect can be eliminated by suitable modifications to such combination, hence Commission proposes appropriate modifications to the combination which were informed to SCPL and VCPL on 12<sup>th</sup> March, 2020. SCPL accepts some of the modifications suggested and for the remaining modifications it submitted its suggestions/amendments back to the Commission on 25<sup>th</sup> March. Thereafter the Commission has neither issued directions nor passed any order approving / rejecting combination.

Rock Solid Private Limited (RSPL) is the substantial supplier of clay, slate, blast furnace slag, silica sand which are essential raw materials of cement, and a shortage of the same is observed in the market. Mr. Arya, on behalf of SCPL, has executed a supply agreement with RSPL on 20<sup>th</sup> October, 2020 wherein it is provided that RSPL will not supply these raw materials to any other cement manufacturer, against this the purchase commitment has been made from SCPL for all their (RSPL) output at the price mentioned in such agreement. Magnite Cement Limited (MCL) who is another cement manufacturer is not happy with the RSPL, because RSPL has not supplied the slate and silica sand to MCL against the PO (Purchase Order) placed by MCL dated 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2020, hence the Board of Directors of MCL is considering taking legal remedy against RSPL in the capacity of the consumer. MCL has borne loss on account of the stock-out situation emerged from the non-availability of raw material. It was found that only half of the consideration was paid and 30 days' credit was available for making payment of the remaining balance, regarding which payment promise is made by MCL. MCL also imports clinker for its operations and has imported a significant quantity of clinker from Vietnam for the first time under a deferred payment arrangement for a period of three and half years.

Mrs. Patel, the mother of Hiren, who also resides with her son and daughter in-law in States and holds NRI status, acquired two immovable properties (one farmhouse for residential purposes and another an agricultural land, because she studied botany during her masters and willing to develop botanical garden there) in their native place situated near Rajkot district of Gujarat in India in the year 2020-2021 for a total consideration equivalent to USD 4,70,000. She made payment for the same out of her non-resident account.

(15)

UFH

Marks

Answer the following questions :

3.1. Which of the following options are correct with respect to import by MCL from the Vietnam based supplier under the deferred payment arrangement ? 2

- I. Such deferred payment arrangement will be treated as trade credit because its term is less than 5 years
- II. Such deferred payment arrangement will be treated as normal borrowings, because of duration of 3 and half years
- III. Authorised dealer may give a guarantee in respect of deferred payment arrangement
- IV. Authorised dealer can't give a guarantee in respect of deferred payment arrangement

(A) I and III

(B) I and IV

(C) II and III

(D) II and IV

3.2. The agreement is executed among SCPL and RSPL on 20th October, 2020, can be categorised as : 2

- (A) Exclusive supply agreement
- (B) Tie-in arrangement
- (C) Refuse to deal agreement
- (D) None of these

UFH

P.T.O.

- 3.3. Whether the understanding reached among the manufacturers of cement be termed as an agreement ? **2**
- (A) No, because it is not in writing
  - (B) No, because it is not intended to be enforced by legal proceedings
  - (C) No, because it is not in writing and also not intended to be enforced by legal proceedings
  - (D) Yes
- 3.4. Can MCL assume the position of the consumer for the purpose of competition laws ? **2**
- (A) No, because only half of the consideration paid by SCL
  - (B) No, because SCL is not buying slate and silica sand for personal use or direct resale
  - (C) Yes
  - (D) No, because only an individual can be a consumer
- 3.5. Which of the following statements is correct regarding the acquisition of immovable property in India by Mrs. Patel ? **2**
- (A) Mrs. Patel is not allowed to acquire any sort of immovable property in India
  - (B) Mrs. Patel is not allowed to acquire farmhouse and agricultural land in India
  - (C) Mrs. Patel may acquire the farmhouse, but not agricultural land in India
  - (D) Mrs. Patel may acquire both the farmhouse and agricultural land in India

(17)

UFH

Marks

3.6. Answer the following questions :

- (i) Evaluate, with reasons whether Navigate has dominance and has it abused its dominant position ? **5**
- (ii) Analyse the provisions of the Competition Act and evaluate whether the Commission has approved the combination between SCPL and VCPL and if so, the date of approval thereof. **4**
- (iii) Examine the following, with reasons based on applying the provisions of FEMA 1999 :
- (a) Can Anna acquire immovable property in India independently ? **2**
- (b) Is the acquisition of the apartment by Hiren and Anna valid as per FEMA regulations ? **2**
- (c) Can Anna acquire another property which is agricultural land, in joint ownership with Hiren for investment purposes ? **2**

UFH

P.T.O.

**CASE STUDY – 4**

Mrs. Susan, an Indian citizen and Mr. Rahim, a Pakistani citizen, got married on 13.12.1985. Mrs. Susan is a housewife and is now residing in a farmhouse, B-91, Ludhiana, Punjab, with her husband. They were blessed with three children, Abhas, Razia and Shabina. Mr. Rahim obtained a Long-term Visa in India and purchased agricultural land near his house. He entered into an agreement on 10.02.1992 in the name of his wife to purchase the said property for a total sale consideration of ₹ 44 lakh. The sale deed was executed on 23.01.1993 in the name of Mrs. Susan. The sale consideration of ₹ 44 lakh was paid by Mr. Rahim by a cheque of ₹ 24 lakh from his bank account and balance from unknown sources. Mr. Rahim liked the ecology of the area of Ludhiana and therefore, he had chosen to purchase the property for his benefit. After purchase of the agricultural land, Mr. Rahim spent huge amounts to reclaim the lands and to raise crops such as coffee, pepper, orange, etc. He raised cattle and sheep farms and laid roads at his own cost. He had also fenced the agricultural land with live wires to protect the crops from wild animals. He had also installed generators and bore well etc. He named the estate as 'Nelson Estate' and employed 50 workers. Mr. Rahim and Mrs. Susan had been living in Ludhiana, Punjab till 2001. During 2002, Mrs. Susan insisted to change her residence to Bangalore under the pretext of imparting education to the children. Mr. Rahim provided her with a separate residence at Bangalore registered in the name of his son, Abhas, at a cost of ₹ 30 lakh and paid the consideration from his funds. Mrs. Susan and the children got shifted their residence to Bangalore. Mr. Rahim had been paying ₹ 30,000 per month for the maintenance of Mrs. Susan and their children. Ms. Shabina wanted to marry Mr. Marzban, a citizen of Afghanistan. After marriage, Ms. Shabina got the citizenship of Afghanistan and simultaneously her Indian citizenship status got revoked.

(19)

UFH

Marks

Mr. Abhas's maternal grandfather went to the UAE for a business trip and purchased gold jewellery having weight of 5 kgs. He hid the gold jewellery in the white goods to save custom duty. He gifted that gold jewellery to his grandson, Mr. Abhas. Mr. Abhas purchased a flat in Maharashtra at a price of ₹ 40 Lakhs in the name of his sister, Ms. Shabina, after her child was born. He took a loan of ₹ 10 lakhs from bank by mortgaging the Bangalore property and took ₹ 5 lakhs from his savings. For the balance amount, he sold the jewellery gifted by his grandfather at ₹ 25 lakhs. Mr. Abhas rented the property in Maharashtra for the monthly rent of ₹ 25,000. Mr. Abhas also purchased another property in the name of his mother in law (as she is a senior citizen female - to bear less registration cost in the form of stamp duty), consideration for which was paid out of known sources of funds by Mr. Abhas. Mr. Aslam, the elder son of Mr. Abhas is settled in USA. He left India to pursue MS in civils. After his post graduation, he got a job in an MNC in USA. He visited India every year and gave substantial funds to his mother, Mrs. Heena to keep it by way of deposit in India for the benefit of Mr. Aslam. Mrs. Heena and Mr. Abhas suggested that as Aslam's substantial funds are in deposit with her and he is doing well for himself in USA, he should purchase a plot of land to build a house thereon in New Delhi. Mr. Aslam agreed on the idea and was ready to purchase a house. Mr. Aslam came to India and handed over further funds to his mother for acquiring the plot that had already been identified to be acquired on a perpetual lease.

UFH

P.T.O.

Mrs. Heena obtained the aforesaid plot on a perpetual lease in her name jointly with Mr. Aslam. All the funds used in the purchase of the plot by Mrs. Heena were from the money deposited with her and given to her by Mr. Aslam from time to time. The possession of the plot was obtained by her jointly with Mr. Aslam and a perpetual lease deed was executed by the Delhi Development Authority (DDA). After two years from the date of purchase of property in Delhi by Mrs. Heena, she met with a car accident and died. Her younger son, Mr. Kafil filed a suit that the property was in the name of his mother, and he has 50% rights alongwith his elder brother Mr. Aslam in the property situated in New Delhi. Mr. Aslam came to India and averted that the property was purchased by his mother out of the funds that have been provided by him from time to time.

During the middle of the year 2012, Mr. Rahim's health condition deteriorated, and he was advised to go to England for treatment. During September 2012, he left India and got himself admitted in a hospital in England and remained there due to his health condition. During the period of his absence in India, he used to send money to the tune of ₹ 30,000 per month towards the maintenance of the agricultural land to Mrs. Susan. During March 2003, Mr. Rahim came back to India and found that Mrs. Susan had retrenched all the workers, sold away the property, cows, buffaloes numbering about 50, generators and the agricultural produce such as pepper, coffee, etc., and appropriated the amount without his knowledge. After a further visit to England for his treatment on 17.08.2013, when Mr. Rahim returned to India, he was prevented from entering the estate by Mrs.

(21)

UFH

Marks

Susan. Mr. Rahim filed a case against his wife, Mrs. Susan, that he is the owner of the agriculture land in Ludhiana. He purchased the property in the name of his wife out of love and affection. She has no right to sell the property without his permission. Mrs. Susan argued that she was the owner of the property, and that the sale deed stands in her name. Further she argued that she was making negotiations for the sale of a portion of the estate within the knowledge of Mr. Rahim. Also, Mr. Rahim conveyed his no objection to selling the property and appropriating the proceeds to be paid unreservedly to Mrs. Susan or to her order. She alleged that Mr. Rahim had deserted her and her children, and she had to necessarily make the provisions to support them. Also, in her support she said that there is a presumption in law that the ostensible owner is also a legal owner.

Answer the following questions :

4.1. Whether Ms. Susan having the ostensible ownership of the land can be considered as what for the purpose of considering a benami transaction assuming the land was purchased by Mr. Rahim from known sources ?

2

(A) Beneficial Owner

(B) Benamidar

(C) Real Owner

(D) Non-owner

UFH

P.T.O.

(22)

UFH

Marks

4.2. Who can be considered as the benamidar for the property purchased in Bangalore ? 2

- (A) Mr. Abhas
- (B) Ms. Shabina
- (C) Mrs. Susan
- (D) The transaction is not a benami transaction.

4.3. Whether the maternal grandfather of Mr. Abhas is liable for punishment under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 ? 2

- (A) No, he is not liable for any punishment under any provisions of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002
- (B) Yes, he is liable to punishment for commitment of offence under Part C of the Schedule to the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002
- (C) Yes, he is liable to punishment with rigorous imprisonment for a term which shall not be less than 3 years
- (D) Yes, he is liable for punishment for commitment of offence under Part A, Paragraph 1 as well as Paragraph 12 of Schedule to the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002

UFH

(23)

UFH

Marks

4.4. Evaluate the legal position of mother in law of Mr. Abhas as benamidar in the case study : 2

- (A) Yes, the mother in law of Mr. Abhas is a benamidar
- (B) No, the mother in law of Mr. Abhas is not a benamidar as she is covered under the exceptions under the Act
- (C) No, the mother in law of Mr. Abhas is not a benamidar as the consideration is paid out of known sources of funds
- (D) No, the mother-in-law of Mr. Abhas is not a Benamidar as it is not a Benami Transaction

4.5. The Regulator wanted to consider the property purchased by Mr. Rahim in Bangalore as a benami property, since it was purchased by him in his son's name and hence, Abhas is a Benamidar. Evaluate 2

- (A) Yes, because consideration was paid by Mr. Rahim, but the property was registered in his name
- (B) Yes, because Abhas is a party to the transaction despite having not paid the consideration
- (C) No, because he is the son of Mr. Rahim, who paid the consideration
- (D) No, because he did not participate in the negotiation of price and the payment thereof

UFH

P.T.O.

(24)

UFH

Marks

4.6. Answer the following questions :

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| (i) Examine with reasons whether the contention of Mr. Rahim that Mrs. Susan has no right to sell the property which was purchased by him is correct ? If yes, consequences for the property. | 6 |
| (ii) Whether the purchase of property by Mr. Aslam jointly in the name of his mother is a Benami Transaction ? Support your opinion with reasons.   | 3 |
| (iii) Mr. Abhas is of the view that he has not violated any provisions of the PMLA. Provide your views in this regard and evaluate the consequences under the relevant provisions.            | 6 |

### CASE STUDY – 5

Mr. Prem Agarwal is an Indian businessman. He is the chairman of the Courage Industries Limited, which was created in July 1984 by Mr. Prem Agarwal's father, Mr. D. D. Agarwal. After his father's death in 2004, Mr. Prem Agarwal became the chairman of the Company. The Company is running multiple businesses such as Financing, Infrastructure, Telecommunications, etc.

To pay the existing debts and to make the Company work efficiently, Courage Industries Limited took bank loans from consortium of Indian banks. The Company wanted to expand its telecoms business and DTH services in India. So this time the Company approached foreign banks for the loan. Being one of the pioneer companies of India and on its credibility all the three foreign banks - Global Bank of America, Exim Bank of Scotland and Chartered Bank of London, sanctioned the required loan amounts.

UFH

(25)

UFH

Marks

The Indian lenders of Courage Industries Limited included ABD State Bank with an exposure of over ₹ 1,245 crore followed by Bank of Ajmer (₹ 1,090 crore), P&G National Bank (₹ 810 crore) and JV National Bank (₹ 792 crore). Among overseas lenders, Global Bank of America had an exposure of ₹ 700 crore, followed by Exim Bank of Scotland (₹ 430 crore) and Chartered Bank of London (₹ 350 crore). The loans were also personally guaranteed by Mr. Prem Agarwal. All the four Indian banks as aforesaid, sanctioned the loans in the year 2012 in a consortium agreement. Courage Industries Limited assured the bank to pay all the instalments on time. The Company as per their commitment paid instalments on time.

Everything went well but from August 2017, due to heavy losses, the Company defaulted in paying instalments to all the Nationalised as well as the foreign banks. Due to tough competition in telecommunications market and entry of new giants in the market, the rates of voice call and data plan reduced considerably. The Banks started sending reminders to the Courage Industries Limited to clear all of their respective dues.

The JV National Bank had a warehouse in Mumbai which it seized in the insolvency proceedings of a PQR Company. After many attempts, the Bank was not able to recover its loan by selling the property at the expected market price. So the bank had decided to lease the premises. Courage Industries Limited had come to know about it and had approached the bank in May 2016 to take the premises on lease. The annual rent of the premises had been fixed at ₹ 1.5 crore. As the Courage Industries went in losses from the year 2017, it defaulted in paying lease rentals for the last two years, which amounted to ₹ 3 Crore. Due to non-payment of dues by some other companies as well along with Courage Industries Limited to JV National Bank, the NPA of JV National Bank rose to sixty-five percent. JV National Bank, has been grappling with mounting bad loans since last two years.

UFH

P.T.O.

Aditya Agarwal, son of Prem Agarwal, commenced real estates projects across different cities of Maharashtra as part of which he announced four real estate projects in Mumbai, Nagpur, Pune and Nashik on 21<sup>st</sup> November, 2019. The details of the project were as follows :

- Courage Serene in Vashi Mumbai, where the proposed project consists of area of five hundred square meters and the number of proposed apartments will be twelve.
- Courage Codename in Nagpur, where the proposed project consists of area of fifty thousand square meters and the number of proposed apartments will be eighty.
- Courage Lifestyle in Pune, where the proposed project area consists of five thousand square meters and the number of proposed apartment will be eighty.
- Courage Royal Serenity in Nasik, where the proposed project area consists of five thousand square meters and the proposed apartment will be one hundred.

The Company decided that the booking of the apartments in all the projects will start after 24<sup>th</sup> December, 2019, after obtaining all the legal permissions from the prescribed authority. A Board meeting was held on 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2019. The Board of Directors was of view that there is shortage of funds with the Company. Ultimately with a unanimous decision, the budget for two projects was reduced. The Company decided to reduce the number of apartments in two projects. Now the Company will build only eight apartments in Courage Serene in Vashi Mumbai and in case of Courage Codename in Nagpur, the construction will take place in two phases. In the first phase, twenty-five thousand square metres area

(27)

**UFH**

**Marks**

will be developed with construction of forty flats and in second phase another twenty-five thousand square metres area will be developed for constructing remaining forty flats. As per the Act, all the required documents were then submitted by the Company for RERA registration. Considering the latest NGT requirements and amendments in the policy about the environment (applicable for civil construction in the embankment areas of large rivers), certain structural changes relating to the height and common area landscape was made to the sanctioned plan of the Courage Royal Serenity project in Nasik, which was built on the banks of the river Godavari.

From 25<sup>th</sup> December 2019, the Company started the booking of flats in all the four projects. As a Christmas day offer, the Company gave an extra two lakh rupees discount in each project on the booking of the flat within 6 months of starting of construction work. People started booking flats in all the four projects. The cost of the flats in all the four project started from rupees three crores to seven crores. The Company started the work in all the projects in full swing after getting commencement of work certificate for each of the projects from the authority. Mr. Harshit khana, a registered real estate agent, is owner of a firm called Harshit Homez. He wanted to get associated with Courage Industries Limited for selling the flats of Mumbai as well as Nagpur projects respectively. Mr. Harshit gave an advertisement without the Company's knowledge, in the newspaper for the sale of flats along with an offer that whosoever books any flats via his firm will get extra one percent discount in booking amount. The Company overall got a good response for the three projects except the Nasik project. It got only seventy percent of the total booking slots till mid of February. A Board meeting was held on 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2020 in which it was decided that due to losses in other businesses of the Company and being heavily in debt to the

**UFH**

**P.T.O.**

creditors, the Company will sell its Nasik project to a third party, XYZ Infrastructure Company. After taking over the project, XYZ Infrastructure Company made certain changes in the layouts of the project. Courage Industries Limited tried to sell its assets to various companies, including its rival Tele Tones Company, to clear the debts but the deals did not crystallize as expected. Later, the insolvency proceedings against Courage Industries Limited started on a plea filed by Japanese telecom company after the Company failed to clear its dues.

The CoC final meeting was to be held on 25<sup>th</sup> March 2020, but amidst the nationwide lockdown it got cancelled. According to the order of National Company Law Tribunal, CoC needs to complete the entire process by 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2020 and the resolution professional, Legal Hawk needs to file the resolution plan with the NCLT, Mumbai by 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2020.

Answer the following questions :

- 5.1. The Company decided to construct the Nagpur project in two different phases due to shortage of funds. What shall be the impact of the decision on the project ? 2
- (A) Both the phases are part of one project and so no separate registration is required for each phase
- (B) Separate registration of the project is required only in case where it is developed by two different promoters.
- (C) Each phase will be considered as a stand- alone project and separate registration is required for both the phases.
- (D) If the second phase is immediately started after completion of first phase then no separate registration of the phases is required.

(29)

UFH

Marks

5.2. Mr. Harshit has himself announced that any person making bookings via their agency will be given extra discount. With regard to the provisions of RERA, this announcement can be deemed as :

2

- (A) voidable at the option of the Courage Industries Limited.
- (B) misleading the buyers for services that are not intended to be offered
- (C) correct and to be intended to be offered by the Company.
- (D) to be reliable as made by registered agent of the Company

5.3. The final meeting of Committee of Creditors was to be held on 25<sup>th</sup> March, 2020. Is it necessary to hold the meeting in person or can it be arranged otherwise ?

2

- (A) Since it is a final meeting, everyone needs to be present in person.
- (B) Meeting in person is not necessary and it can be held via video conferencing.
- (C) Only resolution plan can be discussed via video conferencing and voting needs to done in person
- (D) With prior permission of the Tribunal (NCLT), resolution professional can hold meeting via video conferencing.

UFH

P.T.O.

(30)

UFH

Marks

- 5.4. XYZ Infrastructure Company after takeover of the project, did changes in the layouts of the project. Is it authorised to do the changes to the layouts of the ongoing project ? Which of the following statements is not correct ? 2
- (A) Before doing any changes in the project, it has to take prior approval of the RERA Authority
- (B) As a new promoter of the project, it is authorised to make necessary changes.
- (C) With the permission of the two- third allottees of the flats, they can make necessary changes.
- (D) The new promoter is required to carry forward the project by complying with all the pending obligations of the erstwhile promoter.

- 5.5. In which of the four real estate projects started by Courage Industries Limited, registration of the project is not mandatory ? 2

- (A) Courage Codename
- (B) Courage Royal Serenity
- (C) Courage Serene
- (D) Courage Lifestyle

UFH

(31)

**UFH**

**Marks**

5.6. Answer the following questions :

- (i) Answer the following questions with respect to the constitution of Committee of Creditors :
- (a) All the four Indian banks, as a consortium gave loans to Courage Industries Limited. How they will form part of Committee of Creditors and how their voting shares would be determined ? **4**
- (b) JV National Bank is financial as well as operational creditor of the Courage Industries Limited. Can JV National Bank club both the debts and claim it as a financial debt ? **4**
- (c) The Banks decided to enforce the personal guarantee provided by Mr. Agarwal. But he contended that the demand is not maintainable in view of the ongoing Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process. Evaluate. **4**
- (ii) Aditya is of the view that since the alteration in the sanctioned plan was enforced by changes in policy matters, the approval for such changes in the sanctioned plans was not required to be obtained from the allottees. Evaluate in the context of the provisions of RERA. **3**

---

**UFH**